Filed 3/18/10 by Clerk of Supreme Court IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

	2010 ND 48	
Jarick Products, Inc. d/b/a Dakota Gypsum,		Plaintiff and Appellee
v.		
MID AM Group, LLC,		Defendant
and		
D. Duane Peterson,		Defendant and Appellant
-	No. 20090290	-
Appeal from the Distr the Honorable Douglas R. H	• 1	- East Central Judicial District,

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Monte L. Rogneby (argued), Vogel Law Firm, P.O. Box 2097, Bismarck, ND 58502-2097, Jon R. Brakke (on brief) and Michael S. Raum (on brief), Vogel Law Firm, P.O. Box 1389, Fargo, ND 58107-1389, for plaintiff and appellee.

L. Patrick O'Day, Jr., P.O. Box 1727, Fargo, ND 58107-1727, for defendant and appellant.

Jarick Products v. MID AM Group No. 20090290

Per Curiam.

- [¶1] D. Duane Peterson appealed from the district court's order denying his motion to vacate the default judgment under N.D.R.Civ.P. 60(b). On appeal, Peterson argued the district court erred by denying his motion because the default judgment pierced the corporate veil of his limited liability corporation, and his six to seven month delay in bringing his Rule 60(b) motion was reasonable. The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Peterson's motion. The order is affirmed under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(4) and (7). See Royal Indus., Inc. v. Haugen, 409 N.W.2d 636, 638 (N.D. 1987) (quoting Bender v. Liebelt, 303 N.W.2d 316, 318 (N.D. 1981)) (holding a disregard of legal process is not excusable neglect under Rule 60(b)); Follman v. Upper Valley Special Educ. Unit, 2000 ND 72, ¶ 11, 609 N.W.2d 90 (quoting Industrial Comm'n of North Dakota v. Wolf, 1999 ND App 2, ¶ 6, 588 N.W.2d 590) (holding a Rule 60(b) motion does not "relieve a party from free, calculated, and deliberate choices").
- [¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J. Dale V. Sandstrom Daniel J. Crothers Mary Muehlen Maring Donovan J. Foughty, D.J.
- [¶3] The Honorable Donovan J. Foughty, D.J., sitting in place of Kapsner, J., disqualified.